Comment on "Structure of the two-neutrino double- decay matrix elements within perturbation theory" by Dušan Štefánik, Fedor Šimkovic, Amand Faessler, arXiv:1506.00835 [nucl-th], Phys.Rev.C91 (2015) 6, 064311

Vadim Rodin*
Schmiedewiesen 13, D-72805, Lichtenstein, Germany

O.A. Rumyantsev†
ISK FORT, Prospekt Mira, 102 (1), Moscow, Russia

M.H. Urin‡
National Research Nuclear University MEPhI (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute), 115409 Moscow, Russia
(Dated: December 9, 2015)

We comment on a priority claim given by the authors of Phys.Rev.C91 (2015) 6, 064311.

arXiv:1512.02466v1 [nucl-th] 8 Dec 2015

A false claim of priority has been made by the authors of Ref. [1]. In the beginning of Sect. V they have written "In Ref. [17] the double Fermi and GT sum rules associated with $\Delta Z = 2$ nuclei were introduced.", where a previous paper [2] by the same authors has been given the priority (explicit representations for the sum rules are given in Eqs.(27),(28) of [1]).

In fact, the sum rules were first introduced in [3] 15 years prior to the publication [2], see Eq.(5) of [3] (the notation used in [3] is somewhat different from that of [1, 2]). A model-independent, identity transformation of the amplitude $M^{2\nu}$ of $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay, introduced for the first time in [3], allows one to partition $M^{2\nu}$ into two terms that are sensitive to different parts of a nuclear Hamiltonian. One of these terms is proportional to the sum rule in question. As the authors of [3] only considered a mean field contribution to the sum rules, they concluded that the sum rules must vanish, that is not correct for a general nuclear Hamiltonian. This shortcoming of [3] was corrected in follow-up papers [4, 5], where the dominating contribution of the particle-particle sector of a nuclear Hamiltonian to the sum rules was emphasized and evaluated in the quasiboson approximation. A simple separable particle-particle interaction was employed in [4], whereas the case of a general particle-particle interaction was treated in [5].

Note, that the authors of [1, 2] must have been aware of publications [4, 5], since first, [4, 5] were cited in [2], see entries [26,27] in the list of references, and second, one of the authors of [1, 2] was also a co-author of [4, 5].

[1] D. Štefánik, F. Simkovic and A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. C **91**, no. 6, 064311 (2015)
[2] Dušan Štefánik D. Štefánik, F. Šimkovic, K. Muto and A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. C **88**, no. 2, 025503 (2013)
[3] O. A. Rumyantsev and M. H. Urin, Phys. Lett. B **443**, 51 (1998).
[4] V. A. Rodin, M. H. Urin and A. Faessler, Nucl. Phys. A **747**, 295 (2005).
[5] V. Rodin and A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 014322 (2011)

* vadim.rodin@hotmail.de
† o.rumyantsev@iskfort.ru
‡ urin@theor.mephi.ru